RTP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WAS CONNECTED AND STATES.

	· ·	√ 32
UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA,))
	Plaintiff,))
-V-	3))
JEFFERY HAYDOCK,		Case No. 85-CR-141 Eastern District of Wisconsin.
	Defendant.	

DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO THE GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE ON THE RULE 35 (A) MOTION TO CORRECT/MODIFY SENTENCE

Now, comes Jeffery Haydock, pro-se, herein adopts the governments position in the response of May 17th, 2006:

"The government requests that this court enter an Order clarifying that the sentence imposed on October 28, 1986, provide that the five year sentence for violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113 (a) and (d) be served concurrently with any sentence imposed by the State of Wisconsin and the five year sentence for violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 942 (c) be served consecutively to any other sentence imposed by any state or federal court."

The government statement: The Order, should be Titled, Order of clarification, in the matter of United States of America V. Jeffery Haydock, is additionally adopted as correct, in aid of resolution of this matter pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3568 (1986).

In aid of prompt resolution, defendant request the language of the Order contain lauguage to the effect: The five year sentence for violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 2113 (a) and (d), shall be concurrent and coterminious with the State of Wisconsin sentence, and shall be aggregated with the five years sentence for violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 924 (c), Ordered to run consecutively, within, the meanings and terms of Title 18 U.S.C. Sections 4161 and 4162's provision for calculation of the applicable good time credit awards for defendant's committed under 18 U.S.C. Section 3568.

In closing defendant, Jeffery Haydock, wishes to express his thanks to the United States Assistant Attorney Paul L Kanter for his professional conduct displayed in resolution of this matter, and the Court for it's time spent entertaining the matter before the court, after a 20 year hiatus.

Respectfully Submitted,

(2)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, <u>Jeffery Haydoc</u>	hereby certify that I have served a true and correct	
copy of the following: R	Reply of May 25th, 2006, to the Government's Response of May 17th, 2006.	
		,
	: •	
court, Houston v. Lack, 1	the time it was delivered to prison authorities for forwarding to the 01 L.Ed.2d 245 (1988), upon the court and parties to litigation and or ord, by placing same in a sealed, postage prepaid envelope addressed to:	
Office of the Uni	State Attorney General Paul L. Kanter ited States Attorney, Room 530 U.S. Courthouse in Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.	
•		
-	e United States Postal Mail at the Pekin Federal Correctional Ins	st.
on this: 25th	h day of: May 2006,	
	I Also a of Alguedon	
	Jeffrey J. Haydoch	